# CHARLES CLAYTON WATSON

1973 Val Verde

Germantown, Tennessee 38138 (901) 490-8876

cw5131@bellsouth.net

OLERICS OFFICE U.S. DIST. COURT AT HARRISONBURG, VA FILED

JUNIA CHUDLEY, CLERK

January 20, 2011

Clerk of the Court United States District Court 116 N. Main Street Harrisonburg, VA 22802

RE: Domonoske v. Bank of America N.A.

Case No. 5:08CV00066

#### Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am currently a Bank of America mortgage loan customer and did receive a mortgage loan during the time frame cited in the "Notice of Proposed Settlement".

I do not believe my wife and I have been harmed by the failure of Bank of America and their computer systems. My FICO credit score is quite high. The loan to value ratio of my loan is less than 50%. My mortgage loan payment as a percentage of my income is less than 15%.

I request exclusion from the settlement. I do not believe my wife and I have been harmed. My wife and I will not pursue an independent settlement. I object to any monies being paid from Bank of America to the Class Action defendants and counsel for the defendants.

I am angered Thomas D. Domonoske and Victor Rivera with their attorneys, Lyngklip & Associates and Consumer Litigation Associates would waste the precious time of the US Federal Court in this manner.


Sincerely,

CC: Lyngklip & Associates

Charles Charle Watson

**Consumer Litigation Associates** 

Morrison & Foerster LLP



CLERKS OFFICE U.S. DIST. COURT AT HARRISONBURG, VA FILED

AN 2 4 2011

January 14, 2011

Alice M. Adams 2015 E. Roy Street Seattle, WA 98112

Claims Administrator

P.O. Box 2251

Domonoske Settlement

c/o Rust Consulting, Inc.

Faribault, MN 55021-2437

Class Counsel

Ian B. Lyngklip Lyngklip & Associates Consumer Law Center

24500 Northwestern Hwy

Suite 206 Southfield, MI Leonard A. Bennett, Esq.
Consumer Litigation Assoc. PC

Suite 100

Newport, News, VA 23606

12515 Warwick Boulevard

RE: Domonoske v. Bank of America, N.A. Settlement / Case No. 5:08CV00066

Dear Class Administrator and Class Counsel:

I received a Claim Form regarding the above-referenced class action settlement. My PIN number is **12152677**, and I completed a Claim Form online, dated January 14, 2011.

My husband, David B. Adams, did not, however, receive a Claim Form in the mail regarding this class action settlement. We have been married since October 2002, and as Washington State residents, a Community Property state, we have always applied for and obtained loans as a married couple.

Given that the relevant time period of the class action lawsuit runs from May 28, 2006, and July 11, 2009, any loan that we applied for would have been as a married couple, requiring information from both me and my spouse, David B. Adams.

Please advise us as to why David B. Adams would not be included as a member of the class.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely, Acia M. Adams

Alice M. Adams

cc: Clerk of the Court

**U.S. District Court** 

Western District of Virginia 116 N. Main Street, Room 314

Harrisonburg, VA 22802

ADAMS 2015 E. Ray St. Seattr, WA 98112

SEATTLE WAS 9800 TO AN EWA 1

The second secon

Clerk of the Court U.S. District Court Western District of Virginia 116 N. Main Street, Room 314 Harrison burg, VA 22802

**以为第四十四百年以** 

1/18/2011

JULIA COUDLEY, CLERK
BY: DEFUT CLERK

Today I mailed an objection to the case *Domonoske v. Bank of America, N.A.,* Case 5:08CV00066 to the Class Counsel and Defense Counsel.

Thanks for your consideration,

Robyn Scatena, MD

1/17/10

Objection to the lawsuit, Domonoske v. Bank of America N. A., Case No. 5:08cCV00066

Robyn Scatena

36 Eld St, New Haven, CT 06511; 209-406-5017

I object to this lawsuit. I believe that Bank of America was not negligent in their obligation to send credit scores in a timely fashion, especially in the case of mortgate applications. Mortgage applicants were sent their credit scores 4 days after their credit scores were received, which is, I believe, "as soon as is reasonably practicable." I think subjecting the bank to this lawsuit is an example of profiteering, and that there is not a real negligence to correct.

Thanks for allowing me to comment on this settlement.

Sincerely,

Robyn Scatena, MD



New Haven, CT 06511-3816

SAME THE WATER TO A WAY



CLERKS OFFICE U.S. DIST. COURT AT HARRISONBURG, VA FILED

January 11, 2011

To whom it may concern:

Lawsuit name; Domonoske V. Bank of America NA Settlement Case No. 5i08y000066

This letter is regarding the reasons why I think Bank of America is involved on the houses.

1<sup>st</sup> I am being earring on the news that a lot of people is being losing their houses do to Bank of America.

2<sup>nd</sup> Bank of America appreciated my house for more money than what is worth on 2006. I think that is why I lost my house by the mistake of AMC Mortgage.

3<sup>rd</sup> On 2009 we were going to lose are house by mistake of America Home Mortgage.

 $4^{th}$  on January 17 of 2010 I was going to die on Memorial Hospital I think Maurice Abdelmalek pay Memorial Hospital to kill me.

5<sup>th</sup> Days before this date Lizet Moreno and her friend "Nena" they gave me a juice supposable to lose weight but I think t was poison because I felt seek after I drink that Lizet told me it was because of the Mexican pills call Anapsique amitriptilina 25 mg that I was taking in recommendation of a doctor but it wasn't that. I try recently by taking the same pills and mixing them whit drugs it didn't happened nothing to me. I will appreciated if you can help me whit all this.

I'm thankful for you guys letting me participate on the settlement, I want to fallow the lawsuit against Bank of America because in 2006 I went to Bank of America in Arvin California to get help whit my house and one of the workers told me that a lot of people that didn't make money were getting expensive houses after that a lot of people started losing their houses, and that's why I want to fallow whit the lawsuit.

Name of the lawsuit Domonoske V. Bank of America N. A. Case No. 5i08cv00066

Sincerely

Victor Navarro 418 Plumtee Dr Arvin CA 93203 661-854-2753

FILE POLICE VALLE OF NOVALLOS

のお田口が十の田のか

SANTERSFIELD CLOSS WILLIAM STATE OF STA



## From the desk of John and Lena Holland

CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DIST. COURT AT HARRISONBURG, VA FILED

2743 Westgate Ct. Concord, CA 94520-5539 19 January 2011

Clerk of the Court United States District Court 116 N. Main Street Room 314 Harrisonburg, Virginia 22802 JAN 2 4 2011

JULIA C. DUDLEY, CLERK

BY:

DEPUTY CLERK

Re: Domonoske v. Bank of America, N.A., Case No. 5:08CV00066

Dear Clerk of the Court:

This letter constitutes a **Notice of Objection** to the referenced case no. 5:08CV00066, Domonoske v. Bank of America, N.A.

According to the Legal Notice that I recently received, federal law requires that a credit score be sent to a mortgage applicant "as soon as reasonably practicable." The average time that the Bank of America takes to mail this score is "five days" after making a decision on a home equity transaction, and for other mortgage loans "four days" after receiving the score. It seems to me that "five days" and "four days" eminently constitute "reasonably practicable" times; the plaintiffs fail to explain why "five days" and "four days" are not "reasonably practicable."

This case therefore appears to be **frivolous litigation** intended primarily to line the pockets of two attorneys: \$9950000 times 24% provides \$2388000 to be divided between the two Class Counsel attorneys: \$1194000 each if divided equally. Two plaintiffs would receive \$5000 each and would presumably also share in the \$2 minimum, \$100 maximum that I as a Class member would receive. Although the Bank of America has my bank account and carries my mortgage, I am not a particular partisan of the Bank of America. Neverthess, the ultimate loser in this case would be I: the Bank of America could easily recoup its nearly \$10 million expense by increasing fees and interest. My assured \$2, or very unlikely \$100, are thereby not justified.

I therefore strongly object to the settlement: I request that the settlement be vacated, and that cause be shown why the plaintiffs and Class Counsel attorneys should not be fined for the frivolity.

My address and telephone number are printed below.

Name and address:

John S. Holland 2743 Westgate Ct. Concord, CA 94520-5539

Telephone:

925-6099008

Please also be notified that on this date, 19 January 2011, I am sending copies of this Notice of Objection by certified mail to:

#### **CLASS COUNSEL**

Ian B. Lyngklip Lyngklip & Associates Consumer Law Center 24500 Northwestern Hwy., Suite 206 Southfield, Michigan 48075

## From the desk of John and Lena Holland

Leonard A. Bennett, Esq. Consumer Litigation Associates, P.C. 12515 Warwick Boulevard, Suite 100 Newport News, Virginia 23606

### **DEFENSE COUNSEL**

Michael J. Agoglia Morrison & Foerster LLP 425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

John S. Holland (Ph.D.) www.BibleKJV.com

CC: Ian B. Lyngklip, Leonard A. Bennett, Michael J. Agoglia

